

- I provided documents to prove transfer of assets of the Line 4 pipeline from the ownership of Enbridge Pipeline Inc. to Enbridge Income Fund Holdings Inc. during the time that hydrostatic tests were on. I was concerned that the current owner lacks a CPCN certificate. One cannot work on a pipeline without that information nor can Assets be transferred without the approval of the NEB. This is according to the NEB Act sections 74 and 21. I asked Board Chair Peter Watson for the CPCN certificates and to date no documents exist to bind the current owner to that pipeline in compliance with the NEB Act. I raised the concern with the Board but all data is blacked out. The Board simply states that no transfer of assets approvals were needed without reasonable explanation as to how they reached that decision. With a supreme court process happening Nov 30, 2016 I want to understand why the company who owns this asset ^(Line 4 pipeline) lacks a permit in their name and how this could influence the court hearing?
- File A-2014-04 shows the NEB monitored my Twitter feeds and they created extensive "Media Monitoring" reports yet technically the Board is only allowed to review procedural documents or evidence submitted at hearings. Who is paying for this, why are they doing this and what for? I am concerned that my status for Enbridge Line 10 NEB hearing was influenced by the NEB spying on social media because they denied me participant status for this hearing. I filed a complaint and was allowed to be commentator but not a full delegate. Does media monitoring influence participant status? Why was I denied participant status?
- I witnessed an oil spill in the Humber River. The FOI does from File A-2016-04 regarding this incident shows many blacked out documents yet in the end, the NEB refused to do a site visit or to investigate. They seemed more pre-occupied with crafting a letter to pacify me than concerned about structural risks. I secured evidence of cover up yet they still did not review the risks.