11/25/16

Dear Prime Minister, Mr. Carr et al.

On September 2015 as work was being done on Line 9 for hydrostatic testing, Enbridge Pipeline Inc. transferred several pipeline assets to Enbridge Income Fund Holdings Inc. The transfer of assets includes the following list of pipeline assets.

As part of the 2015 Transaction, the commercially secured growth programs embedded within EPI and EPAI were transferred to EIPLP. Enbridge and the Manager continue to oversee the execution of these projects, as well as manage the operations and future development opportunities for EPI and EPAI.

The following table summarizes the current status of the commercially secured projects. The estimated capital costs and the expenditures to date within the table are inclusive of costs incurred prior to the closing of the 2015 Transaction, with the majority of the expenditures to date incurred prior to the close of the 2015 Transaction.

101		Estimated Capital Cost ¹	Expenditures to Date ²	Expected In-Service Date	Status
	nadian dollars, unless stated otherwise) QUIDS PIPELINES		000000000	100225-5110-04	
1.	Eastern Access Line 9 Reversal and Expansion	\$0.8 billion	\$0.7 billion	2013-2015 (in phases)	Substantially complete
2.	Canadian Mainline Expansion	\$0.7 billion	\$0.7 billion	2015	Complete
3.	Surmont Phase 2 Expansion	\$0.3 billion	\$0.3 billion	2014-2015 (in phases)	Complete
4.	Canadian Mainline System Terminal Flexibility and Connectivity	\$0.7 billion	\$0.7 billion	2013-2015 (in phases)	Complete
5.	Woodland Pipeline Extension	\$0.7 billion	\$0.7 billion	2015	Complete
6.	Sunday Creek Terminal Expansion	\$0.2 billion	\$0.2 billion	2015	Complete
7.	Edmonton to Hardisty Expansion	\$1.8 billion	\$1.4 billion	2015 (in phases)	Under
8.	AOC Hangingstone Lateral	\$0.2 billion	\$0.1 billion	2015	Under construction
9.	JACOS Hangingstone Project	\$0.2 billion	\$0.1 billion	2016	Under- construction
10.	Regional Oil Sands Optimization Project	\$2.6 billion	\$1.5 billion	2017	Under
11.	Norlite Pipeline System ³	\$1.3 billion	\$0.1 billion	2017	Under- construction
12.	Canadian Line 3 Replacement Program	\$4.9 billion	\$0.7 billion	2017	Pre- construction

1 These amounts are estimates and are subject to upward or downward adjustment based on various factors. Where appropriate, the amounts reflect EIPLP's share of joint venture projects.

2 Expenditures to date reflect total cumulative expenditures incurred from inception of the project up to September 30, 2015.

3 EIPLP will construct and operate the Norlite Pipeline System. Keyera Corp. will fund 30% of the project.

ENBRIDGE INCOME PARTNERS LP THIRD QUARTER REPORT | 63

* Note this Transfer of Assets is verified in Enbridge Income Partners LP Third Quarter Report page 63.

11/25/16

I emailed NEB Chair Peter Watson to request Line 9's CPCN certificates and to ask if NEB permission was needed to transfer the assets and I CC'd an OPP officer to witness the response. Mr. Watson stated "Corporate name changes do not impinge on the NEB's effectiveness as a regulator" and that "The Board has not taken the view that corporate name changes require applications under the NEB Act."

Here is that email information:

Forwarded Message
From: Peter Watson
To: "butterflybluelu@rogers.com" @opp.ca"
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2015 4:58 PM Subject: RE: Line 9 CPCN permit
Augest Re Line 5 Group pennit
Dear Ms. Lanteigne:
Please find attached Certificate OC-30 and Order AO-001-OC-30 relating to Line 9 and the sale of a 533,4 metre section of the pipeline. For further information with respect to the history
of Line 9, please review the Board's decision in OH-002-2013.
The Board has not taken the view that corporate name changes require applications under the NEB Act: see the Board's letter of 20 April 2015. The Board's top priorities are the safety of
candians and the protection of the environment. As the Board noted in its 20 April 2015 letter, corporate name changes do not impinge on the NEB's effectiveness as a regulator.
canadians and the protection of the environment. As the board noted in its 20 April 2015 letter, corporate name changes do not implinge of the NED schedulers as a regulator.
C. Peter Watson, P. Eng. FCAE
Chair and CEO

I was concerned because this statement clearly conflicts with section 74 and 21 of the NEB Act. If the NEB does not know who owns an asset..in this case, the asset is a high pressure oil pipeline... it is my belief this can "impinge on the NEB's effectiveness as a regulator."

So I wrote to Minister Carr about the concern and he sent me this letter on March 15, 2016 where he states the following passages:

Generally, if a company changes it's name due to a merger between two companies, this may require two authorizations: permission from the Board under section 74 of the NEB Act...

...and a variance to the Certificate to add or remove by the Board to determine the appropriate action required, based on the circumstances of the case.

I have every confidence in the Board's determination that an internal corporate name change from Enbridge to Enbridge Income Fund does not require an application under the Act to change the Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity.

The problem I have with this response is that the term ENBRIDGE is a brand name, not a legal corporate name. He didn't answer my concerns specific to the transfer of assets between Enbridge Pipeline Inc. and Enbridge Income Fund Inc. even though they are two separate companies with two different boards of directors, two different addresses etc. I already raised this issue with Alberta SEC. They are by law, two different companies.

Enbridge Pipelines Inc. - Line 3 Replacement Program – OH-002-2015 is an application with the National Energy Board and the Federal Govenrment is reviewing this decision. The NEB's website regarding this project states:

On November 5, 2014, Enbridge Pipelines Inc. submitted an application [Folder 2546069] for the Line 3 Replacement *Program.*

It is quite clear from the information I provided in Enbridge Income Partners LP Third Quarter Report page 63, that Line 3 was among the assets transferred from Enbridge Pipeline Inc. to Enbridge Income Fund Inc. back in 2015. It is reasonable to state that Enbridge Pipeline Inc. no longer owns this Line 3 asset. The asset belongs to Enbridge Income Fund Holdings Inc. and this fact is also confirmed in a newly released PDF report titled: **Enbridge Income Fund Holdings Inc. Premier Energy Infrastructure Investment Vehical November 2016**. URL for that report here: http://www.enbridgeincomefund.com/~/media/Income%20Fund/PDFs/Presentations/Investment-Community-Presentation.pdf 11/25/16

On November 30th, 2016 there will be a Supreme Court Case hearing about Line 9 and currently the Government of Canada is making their decision regarding the potential approval of Enbridge Line 3 Pipeline replacement project.

It is beyond my understanding how the Canadian Government can can allow these projects when clearly, the applicant of them is Enbridge Pipeline Inc. who no longer own these assets.

Why does the Enbridge Line 3 project information, including the executive summery, negate to mention Enbridge Income Fund Holdings Ltd when clearly they own the asset?

Who failed to notified First Nation's communities about the transfer of assets?

Who failed to notified the NEB?

Thank you kindly for your time.

Louisette Lanteigne 700 Star Flower Ave. Waterloo Ont. N2V 2L2