Concerns for Line 9.

Media releases suggested transfer of ownership with Line 9. I wrote Enbridge directly to ask who owns the pipe. This is the response I got:

**From:** Graham White <[Graham.White@enbridge.com](mailto:Graham.White@enbridge.com)>  
**To:** Louisette Lanteigne <[butterflybluelu@rogers.com](mailto:butterflybluelu@rogers.com)>   
**Sent:** Monday, August 17, 2015 3:55 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Line 9 inquiry

Hello, Louisette, I hope you are well. We have been conducting internal financial restructuring of assets in the past year or so, that will have no impact on our liability, levels of insurance, operations or continuing commitment to the safety and integrity of our operations. They are primarily for greater and easier access to, and ability to raise capital, for future major projects and increase dividends to shareholders. We have transferred many of the Liquids assets, including Line 9, to the Enbridge Income Fund. For more information here are our releases on this topic. Most recent: <http://www.enbridge.com/MediaCentre/News.aspx?yearTab=en2015&id=1934274>

And most explanatory:

<http://www.enbridge.com/MediaCentre/News.aspx?yearTab=en2014&id=1902458>

There are no plans for merging with any external firms, this is an internal process to Enbridge owned and operated companies.

Regards,

Graham White

Enbridge Pipelines

I asked the National Energy Board if a permit was sought for the transfer of assets or ownership for Line 9 line in compliance with Section 74 of the NEB Act that requires permission by the board. Here is their response:

**From:** Katherine L. Murphy - Communications <[KatherineL.Murphy@neb-one.gc.ca](mailto:KatherineL.Murphy@neb-one.gc.ca)>  
**To:** butterflybluelu <[butterflybluelu@rogers.com](mailto:butterflybluelu@rogers.com)>   
**Sent:** Monday, August 24, 2015 1:36 PM  
**Subject:** RE: RE: Line 9 inquiry

Ms. Lanteigne,

Ownership of Line 9B did not transfer. It has remained with Enbridge Pipelines Inc.

Enbridge is not required to seek NEB authorization under s.74 of the NEB Act for the change in corporate structure discussed below, and has not done so.

Regards,

Katherine

Katherine Murphy

Communications Officer | Agente des communications

National Energy Board | 517 Tenth Avenue SW, Calgary, Alberta  
Office national de l'énergie | 517, Dixième Avenue S.-O. Calgary (Alberta)  
Government of Canada | Gouvernement du Canada

telephone / téléphone : 587-538-2120

[KatherineL.Murphy@neb-one.gc.ca](mailto:KatherineL.Murphy@neb-one.gc.ca)
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Follow us on Twitter: [@NEBCanada](https://twitter.com/NEBCanada)

Suivez-nous sur Twitter : [@ONE\_NEBCanada](https://twitter.com/ONE_NEBCanada)

I spoke with Mr. Thakur at the Alberta Securities Exchange last week. He is their legal counsel. He stated to me on the phone the fact that Enbridge Pipeline Inc. is a COMPANY. This is according to the NEB's section 2 definition of the word. This discussion took place on August 10, 6:15 pm Waterloo ON time.

I contacted a PROFESSOR OF ECONOMY for his view. He wrote back the following to me:

"There certainly is something amiss here. I don’t like it at all. I’m not sure how much detail you want about the malfeasance. I can assure you that what is being done is morally wrong though and any right thinking company would not foist this onto society."

I looked up the history of Line 9’s previous owners. It was started by Interprovincial Pipe Line Ltd., then changed its name to Interhome Energy Inc. in 1988. Interprovincial Pipe Line Inc. was acquired by Interprovincial Pipe Line System Inc. in 1992.  Interprovincial Pipe Line System Inc.’s name then changed to IPL Energy Inc. in 1994. That name changed to Enbridge Inc. in 1998.

I asked at any point was NEB consent given to these changes of ownership or transfer of assets for Line 9. Can I secure that paperwork? They have not provided me with that information.

In order to work on a pipe in Canada, a pipeline owner requires a CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY otherwise known as CPCN. This is a certificate that can only be issued by the National Energy Board. I asked them to provide me with that too.

I learned the term CPCN from Economist Robyn’s Allen’s concerns with the Kinder Morgan Pipeline in BC where the pipe switched ownership without consent by the NEB. I provide it as an addendum.

To date the NEB has not provided me with any documents to suggest compliance to section 74 of the NEB Act with any of these transfers of assets and ownership nor have I seen the Certificate of Public Convince and Necessity for Line 9. I have sought the data from the NEB Communications Officer Katherine Murphy and their Library official Andrea Caza and have many emails to support.

Today I called the RCMP Anti Fraud at 1-888-310-1122 who advised I speak with John Gralewicz at 705-329-6433 but he wasn’t there so I called 705-329-6420

Then I was advised to contact the Ontario Ministry of Labour which I did. They stated unless something is physically happening in Ontario it’s not their jurisdiction. This is a federal labour issue because pipelines are a federal jurisdiction. So they advised I call the HRDC which as I understand was the Federal Labour dept. Number was 1-800-641-4049. They said it was an NEB issue but was adamant on providing them with my info. So I emailed the Federal Labour Minister instead forwarding the email to [webohs@ontario.ca](mailto:webohs@ontario.ca) too to make sure there is no plausible deniability and to make sure everyone is on the same page with this info.

Thank you

Louisette Lanteigne

700 Star Flower Ave.

Waterloo ON

N2V 2L2

519-885-7619